Exhibit I

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

IN RE: . Case No. 14-22147 (RDD)

ORRIN S. ANDERSON, . Chapter 7

Debtor.

.

ORRIN S. ANDERSON, . Adv. Proc. 15-08214 (RDD)

Plaintiff,

v. . 300 Quarropas Street

White Plains, NY 10601

CREDIT ONE BANK, N.A., et al, . . Monday, June 15, 2015

Defendants. . 11:15 a.m.

TRANSCRIPT OF ADVERSARY PROCEEDING: 15-08241-RDD ANDERSON V. CREDIT ONE BANK, N.A. ET AL

MOTION FOR STAY PENDING APPEAL FILED BY MICHAEL D. SLODOV ON BEHALF OF CREDIT ONE BANK, N.A. (RELATED DOCUMENT 18) [21];

ADVERSARY PROCEEDING: 15-08241-RDD

ANDERSON V. CREDIT ONE BANK, N.A. ET AL

DISCOVERY CONFERENCE RE: MOTION FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

BEFORE THE HONORABLE ROBERT D. DRAIN UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff: Charles Juntikka & Associates, LLP

By: CHARLES JUNTIKKA, ESQ. 1250 Broadway, 24th Floor

New York, NY 10001 (212) 315-3755

APPEARANCES CONTINUED

Audio Operator: Court ECRO Personnel

Transcription Company: Access Transcripts, LLC

517 Dell Road

Landing, NJ 07850 (855) 873-2223

www.accesstranscripts.com

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

discovery. I ruled on that issue.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

17

18

20

22

23

24

25

MR. SLODOV: Well, I can explain --

THE COURT: I've ruled on that issue. That's why you have rulings, so you can move on. You lost on the motion to dismiss on standing grounds.

MR. SLODOV: Your Honor, would you like me to explain?

THE COURT: Yes. I would like to hear that, and I'd like to hear why you're still arguing that this is correct credit reporting as a basis for withholding discovery. shocked by that.

MR. SLODOV: Your Honor, we -- let me walk through 13 this. With respect to the scope of inquiry, if plaintiff asks for a 30(b)(6) representative to appear to testify as to where their servers are located, which I think is one of the deposition topics that they were interested in inquiring on, it would depend on, you know, what the composition of their -- you know, their data record-keeping systems are and how their 19 architecture is structured. The answer to the question would depend on the timeframe, right? So if Credit One is required to produce a witness to answer questions regarding the architecture of their record-keeping system from -- you know, from 1998 to the present, it's going to vary in terms of what preparations are necessary --

THE COURT: You're not answering my question, all

right? And we might as well deal with this question now as $2 \parallel$ opposed to a call that will happen two weeks from now. going to read the response and ask you if you're still pursuing that, and then we'll cover why.

> "Credit One further objects to the class period defined in the complaint because plaintiff did not obtain a discharge until May 2014. The injury about which he complains did not arise until after obtaining his discharge, and plaintiff lacks standing to pursue a claim for events prior to his discharge." May as well combine this with the next one, too: "Credit One further objects to the class period defined in the complaint because plaintiff was not party to any other order of discharge and lacks standing to enforce an injunction entered in favor of any other person and against Credit One."

I denied your motion to dismiss on both of those points, right? So are you still pursuing this? Are you really 19∥going to withhold documents on this basis, standing?

MR. SLODOV: Your Honor, for the purposes of preserving an issue for appeal --

> THE COURT: This is not appeal.

MR. SLODOV: -- we have to restate --

THE COURT: You're withhold -- let me -- this is my

25 question.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

20

22

23

24

WWW.ACCESSTRANSCRIPTS.COM

```
MR. SLODOV: No, I didn't withhold anything.
 1
 2
             THE COURT: Are you going to withhold documents on
   this basis?
 3
 4
             MR. SLODOV: Your Honor, we will not withhold
 5
   anything if you order us to produce it.
                        But you were actually thinking of doing
 6
             THE COURT:
 7
   it?
 8
             MR. SLODOV: No, Your Honor. We're --
 9
             THE COURT:
                         Jesus.
             MR. SLODOV: -- asserting an objection, Your Honor.
10
11
             THE COURT:
                         Uh-huh, right.
12
                          And --
             MR. SLODOV:
13
             THE COURT:
                         Which you think was meritorious as well
14 as the time-barred point --
15
             MR. SLODOV: -- I do actually believe --
             THE COURT: -- and as well as the point that --
16
17
             MR. SLODOV: Yes, Your Honor.
18
             THE COURT: I -- you know, it's just -- I think I'm
19 going to have to see you in person next time you appear in
20
   front of me. I've accommodated you appearing by phone, but I
   want to see the person that's making these arguments in the
   future.
22
             MR. SLODOV: I'll be happy to come, Your Honor.
23
24
             THE COURT: All right. There will be no -- no, let
25∥ me be absolutely clear on this. This is in capital letters,
```

WWW.ACCESSTRANSCRIPTS.COM

3

5

6

7

8

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

N-O, withholding of documents on the basis of a position that's 2 contrary to any ruling I have given in this case that permits this case to go forward. You are on notice right now that if you do that, you'll be subject to Rule 11. The arrogance is outrageous.

Now, as far as any other discovery, since there have been no document demands, I really don't know how much further we could go. Since you started so far behind the eight ball, I -- you should just take from my tone that you need to be reasonable on this going forward. And it is crystal clear that Credit One's practices and policies dealing with the sale of 12 debt that's subsequently discharged and credit reporting are front and center in this discovery, and so therefore objecting to a topic dealing with credit reporting and the sale of debts is just again getting this off on the wrong foot.

You know, you should talk about what it is that, if anything, is added by getting into other collection activities, which I agree might well be irrelevant or unduly burdensome, but that's something you should discuss. But when you list, among other things that you think are irrelevant, quote, "Communications with buyers of consumer debt regarding your," the buyer's, "practices reporting bankruptcy discharges to credit reporting agencies," as irrelevant, I think you can imagine why I am extremely skeptical of how Credit One intends to engage in discovery in this case. There could be nothing